arXiv:0710.5176v1 [math.NT] 26 Oct 2007

THE SIXTH POWER MOMENT OF DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS

J. B. CONREY, H. IWANIEC, AND K. SOUNDARARAJAN

1. Introduction

Understanding moments of families of L-functions has long been an important subject with many number theoretic applications. Quite often, the application is to bound the error term in an asymptotic expression of the average of an arithmetic function. Also, a good bound for a moments can be used to obtain a point-wise bound for an individual L-function in the family; strong enough bounds of this type, known as subconvexity bounds, can often be used to obtain the equidistribution of an arithmetically defined set. Indeed, if one could accurately bound all moments one would obtain the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis (which is known to follow from the Generalize Riemann Hypothesis; see the recent work of Soundararajan [Sou1] for a very precise statement). Precise asymptotic formulas for moments are the tools to obtain refined information about L-functions, such as approximations to the Riemann Hypothesis (zero density estimates and results about the frequency of zeros on the critical line) and detailed information about the value distribution of the L-functions.

It is only in the last ten years that enough of an understanding of the structure of moments has begun to emerge to gain insight into the last of these applications. The new vision began with the work of Keating and Snaith, for their recognition that L-values can be modeled by characteristic polynomials from classical compact groups, and to Katz and Sarnak for their realization that families of L-functions have symmetry types associated with them that reveal which of the classical groups to use to model the family. See [KaSa], [KS1], [KS2], and [CKRS].

Prior to these works, Conrey and Ghosh predicted, on number theoretic grounds, that

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(1/2+it)|^6 \, dt \sim 42 \prod_p \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^4 \left(1+\frac{4}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right) T\frac{\log^9 T}{9!}. \tag{1}$$

The conjecture of Keating and Snaith agrees with this. Numerically, however, this conjecture is untestable. For example,

$$\int_0^{2350000} |\zeta(1/2+it)|^6 \, dt = 3317496016044.9 = 3.3\times 10^{12} \tag{2}$$

Research supported by the American Institute of Mathematics and by the National Science Foundation.

whereas

$$42\prod_p\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^4\left(1+\frac{4}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\times 2350000\times\frac{(\log 2350000)^9}{9!}=4.22\times 10^{11}$$

is nowhere near the prediction. This situation has been rectified by the conjectures of [CFKRS] which assert, for example, that for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$\int_0^T|\zeta(1/2+it)|^6\,dt=\int_0^T P_3\left(\log\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)\,dt+O(T^{1/2+\epsilon}) \tag{3}$$

where P_3 is a polynomial of degree 9 whose exact coefficients are specified as complicated infinite products and series over primes, but whose approximate coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned}P_3(x)&=0.000005708\,x^9+0.0004050\,x^8+0.01107\,x^7+0.1484\,x^6\\&\quad+1.0459\,x^5+3.9843\,x^4+8.6073\,x^3+10.2743\,x^2+\ 6.5939\,x+0.9165.\end{aligned}$$

For this polynomial, we have

$$\int_0^{2350000}P_3\left(\log\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)\,dt=3317437762612.4$$

which agrees well with (2).

The proof of formula (1) is completely out of reach of today's technology. However, in this paper we prove a formula for the analogue of (3) but for Dirichlet L-functions suitably averaged. Our formula agrees exactly with the conjecture of [CFKRS] and so provides, we hope, a new glimpse into the mechanics of moments.

The first author expresses his thanks to Matthew Young for his useful suggestions.

2. Statement of results

Let χ mod q be an even, primitive Dirichlet character and let

$$L(s,\chi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

be its associated L-function. Such an L-function has an Euler product: $L(s,\chi)=\prod_p(1-\chi(p)/p^s)^{-1}$ and a functional equation

$$\Lambda(s,\chi):=(q/\pi)^{(s-1/2)/2}\Gamma(s/2)L(s,\chi)=\epsilon_\chi\Lambda(1-s,\overline{\chi})$$

where ϵ_χ is a complex number of absolute value 1. In this paper we prove an asymptotic formula, with a power savings, for a suitable average of the sixth power of the absolute value of these primitive Dirichlet L-functions near the critical point 1/2. An upper bound for such an average follows from the large sieve inequality

$$\sum_{q\le Q}\frac{q}{\phi(q)}\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \bmod q}\Big|\sum_{n=1}^{N}a_n\chi(n)\Big|^2\le(Q^2+N)\sum_{n=1}^{N}|a_n|^2$$

where $\sum^*$ indicates that the sum is restricted to primitive characters. Huxley used this to prove that

$$\sum_{q\le Q}\ \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} |L(1/2,\chi)|^6 \ll Q^2\log^9 Q$$

and

$$\sum_{q\le Q}\ \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} |L(1/2,\chi)|^8 \ll Q^2\log^{16} Q.$$

In this paper we obtain an asymptotic formula with a power savings for an average similar to the left side of the first inequality above. Our formula agrees exactly with conjectures made in [CFKRS].

Here is a statement of those conjectures and the theorem of this paper. Let A and B be sets of complex numbers with equal cardinality $|A| = |B| = K$. Suppose that $|\Re\alpha|, |\Re\beta| \le 1/4$ for $\alpha \in A$, $\beta \in B$. These are the "shifts". Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{A,B}(\chi) &:= \prod_{\alpha\in A}\Lambda(1/2+\alpha,\chi)\prod_{\beta\in B}\Lambda(1/2+\beta,\overline{\chi}) \\ &= \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{\delta_{A,B}} G_{A,B}\mathcal{L}_{A,B}(\chi)\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,B}(\chi) := \prod_{\alpha\in A} L(1/2+\alpha,\chi)\prod_{\beta\in B} L(1/2+\beta,\overline{\chi}), \tag{5}$$

$$G_{A,B} := \prod_{\alpha\in A}\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\alpha}{2}\right)\prod_{\beta\in B}\Gamma\left(\frac{1/2+\beta}{2}\right) \tag{6}$$

and

$$\delta_{A,B} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in A}\alpha + \sum_{\beta\in B}\beta\right). \tag{7}$$

Further, let

$$\mathcal{Z}(A,B) := \prod_{\substack{\alpha\in A\\ \beta\in B}} \zeta(1+\alpha+\beta) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(A,B) := \prod_{p} \mathcal{B}_p(A,B)\mathcal{Z}_p(A,B)^{-1} \tag{9}$$

with

$$\mathcal{Z}_p(A,B) := \prod_{\substack{\alpha\in A\\ \beta\in B}} \zeta_p(1+\alpha+\beta) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\zeta_p(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^x}\right)^{-1}; \tag{11}$$

also

$$\mathcal{B}_p(A,B) := \int_0^1 \prod_{\alpha \in A} z_{p,\theta}(1/2+\alpha) \prod_{\beta \in B} z_{p,-\theta}(1/2+\beta)\, d\theta \tag{12}$$

with $z_{p,\theta}(x) = 1/(1 - e(\theta)/p^x)$. The conditions on the real parts of elements of A and B ensure that the Euler product for $\mathcal{A}$ converges absolutely. Let $\mathcal{B}_q = \prod_{p|q} \mathcal{B}_p$. Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(q) := \sum_{\substack{S \subset A \\ T \subset B \\ |S|=|T|}} \mathcal{Q}(\overline{S} \cup (-T), \overline{T} \cup (-S); q) \tag{13}$$

where $\overline{S}$ denotes the complement of S in A, and by the set $-S$ we mean $\{-s : s \in S\}$ and, for any sets X and Y,

$$\mathcal{Q}(X,Y;q) = \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{\delta_{X,Y}} G_{X,Y} \frac{\mathcal{AZ}}{\mathcal{B}_q}(X,Y). \tag{14}$$

For example, if $A = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ and $B = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(q) = {} & \mathcal{Q}(\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3\},\{\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3\},q) + \mathcal{Q}(\{-\beta_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3\},\{-\alpha_1,\beta_2,\beta_3\},q) \\ & + \cdots + \mathcal{Q}(\{-\beta_1,-\beta_2,-\beta_3\},\{-\alpha_1,-\alpha_2,-\alpha_3\},q), \end{aligned}$$

is a sum of 8 terms; the first summand corresponds to $S = T = \phi$ so that $X = A$ and $Y = B$; the second summand corresponds to $S = \{\alpha_1\}$ and $T = \{\beta_1\}$, and so on. In general, $\mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(q)$ will have $\binom{2K}{K}$ summands.

Now we state the conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *(CFKRS) Assuming that the "shifts" $\alpha \in A$, $\beta \in B$ satisfy $|\Re\alpha|, |\Re\beta| \le 1/4$, and $\Im\alpha, \Im\beta \ll q^{1-\epsilon}$, we conjecture that*

$$\sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \Lambda_{A,B}(\chi) = \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \mathcal{Q}_{A,B}(q)(1 + O(q^{-1/2+\epsilon})) \tag{15}$$

where $\sideset{}{^\flat}\sum$ *denotes a sum over even primitive characters.*

When $|A| = |B| = 3$ and all of the shifts are 0, the conjecture implies that, as $q \to \infty$ with q not congruent to 2 modulo 4, we have

Conjecture 2. *(CFKRS)*

$$\frac{1}{\phi^\flat(q)} \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} |L(\tfrac{1}{2},\chi)|^6 \sim 42 a_3 \prod_{p|q} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^5}{\left(1 + \frac{4}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)} \frac{\log^9 q}{9!}.$$

where $\phi^\flat(q)$ is the number of even primitive characters modulo q and

$$a_3 = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^4 \left(1 + \frac{4}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

Note that a_3 is the constant that appears in the conjecture for the sixth moment of ζ. The '42' here played an important role in the discovery by Keating and Snaith that moments of L-functions could be modeled by moments of characteristic polynomials, see Beineke and Hughes [BeHu] for an account of this story.

For a set A it is convenient to let A_t be the set of translates

$$A_t = \{\alpha + t : \alpha \in A\}. \tag{16}$$

Note that $(A_{s_1})_{s_2} = A_{s_1+s_2}$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $|A| = |B| = 3$ and that $\alpha, \beta \ll 1/\log Q$, for $\alpha \in A, \beta \in B$. Suppose that Ψ is smooth on $[1,2]$ and $\Phi(t)$ is an entire function of t which decays rapidly as $|t| \to \infty$ in any fixed horizontal strip. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \sum_\chi{}^\flat \Lambda_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(\chi)\, dt \\ = \sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)\phi^\flat(q)\mathcal{Q}_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(q)\, dt + O(Q^{7/4+\epsilon}).\end{aligned}$$

We could equally well prove a theorem for odd primitive characters. The answer would be similar with just the Gamma-factors changed slightly to reflect the difference in the functional equation for odd primitive Dirichlet L-functions. When the shifts are all 0, this difference disappears, in the leading order main term.

Corollary 1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_q \sum_{\chi \bmod q}{}^{*} \Psi(q/Q) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)|L(1/2+it,\chi)|^6\, dt \\ &\quad\sim 42 a_3 \sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \prod_{p|q} \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^5}{\left(1+\frac{4}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}\right)} \phi^\flat(q) \frac{\log^9 q}{9!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)|\Gamma((1/2+it)/2)|^6\, dt \\ &\quad\sim 42 a_3(\mathcal{L}) Q^2 \frac{\log^9 Q}{9!} \int_0^\infty \Psi(x)x\, dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)|\Gamma((1/2+iy)/2)|^6\, dt\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_3(\mathcal{L}) = \prod_p \Big(1-\frac{1}{p}\Big)^5 \Big(1 + \frac{5}{p} - \frac{5}{p^2} + \frac{14}{p^3} - \frac{15}{p^4} + \frac{5}{p^5} + \frac{4}{p^6} - \frac{4}{p^7} + \frac{1}{p^8}\Big).$$

Thus, our theorem asserts that Conjectures 1 and 2 are true (but with a weaker error term) on average over q and a mild average over y.

3. Approximate functional equation

We begin with an "approximate" functional equation for $\Lambda_{A,B}(\chi)$. Suppose that $|A| = |B|$. Then the functional equation implies that

$$\Lambda_{A,B}(\chi) := \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{\delta} G_{A,B}\mathcal{L}_{A,B}(\chi) = \Lambda_{-B,-A}(\chi)$$

where

$$\delta = \delta_{A,B} := (A+B)/2 = \frac{1}{2}\big(\sum_{\alpha\in A}\alpha + \sum_{\beta\in B}\beta\big).$$

Consider

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(1)} \Lambda_{A_s,B_s}(\chi)\frac{H(s)}{s}\,ds \tag{17}$$

where

$$H(s) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha\in A\\ \beta\in B}}\left(s^2 - \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)^2\right)^3.$$

Expanding the L-functions into their Dirichlet series we see that (17) is

$$\Lambda^0_{A,B}(\chi) := \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{\delta}\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}\chi(m)\overline{\chi}(n)W_{A,B}(mn\pi^K/q^K),$$

where the generalized sum-of-divisors function is

$$\sigma_{\{s_1,\dots,s_R\}}(m) := \sum_{m=m_1\dots m_R} m_1^{s_1}\dots m_R^{s_R},$$

and where

$$W_{A,B}(\xi) := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(1)} G_{A_s,B_s}\xi^{-s}\frac{H(s)}{s}\,ds. \tag{18}$$

We note, in passing, two properties of the sum-of-divisors functions. The first is that

$$\sigma_{A_s}(n) = \sigma_A(n)n^s$$

and the second is that

$$\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(p^g)\sigma_{-B}(p^g)}{p^g} = \mathcal{B}_p(A,B) \tag{19}$$

so that, if $\Re\alpha, \Re\beta > 0$, then

$$\sum_{(n,q)=1}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(n)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{n} = \frac{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Z}(A,B)}{\mathcal{B}_q(A,B)}. \tag{20}$$

Returning to our argument, we now move the line of integration in (17) to the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = -1$, and use the functional equation. The residue at $s = 0$ gives $H(0)\Lambda_{A,B}(\chi)$ while the remaining integral is $\Lambda^0_{-B,-A}(\chi)$. Therefore we conclude (assuming $q > 1$) that

$$H(0)\Lambda_{A,B}(\chi) = \Lambda^0_{A,B}(\chi) + \Lambda^0_{-B,-A}(\chi). \tag{21}$$

This is the "approximate" functional equation.

Now, with Φ a rapidly decaying entire function such as $\Phi(t) = e^{-t^2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^1_{A,B}(\chi) := & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)\Lambda^0_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(\chi)dt \\ = & \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}\chi(m)\overline{\chi}(n)V_{A,B}(m,n;q), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$V_{A,B}(\xi,\eta;\mu) = \big(\frac{\mu}{\pi}\big)^{\delta_{A,B}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)(\xi/\eta)^{-it} W_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(\xi\eta\pi^K/\mu^K)dt. \tag{23}$$

4. Preliminaries

Our aim is to evaluate asymptotically

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{A,B}(\Psi,\Phi,Q) := H(0)\sum_q \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \Psi(q/Q) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)\Lambda_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(\chi)dt, \tag{24}$$

where Ψ is a fixed smooth function, compactly supported in $(1, 2)$. We assume throughout that the shifts satisfy

$$\Re\alpha, \Re\beta \ll (\log Q)^{-1}.$$

Using (22), (23), and (24) we have $\mathcal{I}_{A,B} = \Delta_{A,B} + \Delta_{-B,-A}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{A,B} := & \sum_q \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \Psi(q/Q)\Lambda^1_{A,B}(\chi) \\ = & \sum_q \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \Psi(q/Q) \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}\chi(m)\overline{\chi}(n)V_{A,B}(m,n;q). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

We first perform the sum over $\chi \bmod q$. If $(mn, q) = 1$ then

$$\sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \chi(m)\overline{\chi}(n) = \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \frac{1+\chi(-1)}{2}\chi(m)\overline{\chi}(n) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{q=dr \\ r|(m\pm n)}} \mu(d)\phi(r).$$

Therefore, we obtain that (the sum over r below is with multiplicity),

$$\Delta_{A,B} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{\substack{d,r \\ (dr,mn)=1 \\ r|m\pm n}} \phi(r)\mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;dr). \tag{26}$$

There is a diagonal contribution to (26) from the terms $m = n$, which we call $\mathcal{D}_{A,B}$. For the terms $m \neq n$, we introduce a parameter D and divide the sum in (26) into two ranges depending on whether $d > D$ or $d \leq D$. Call the first set of terms $\mathcal{S}_{A,B}$ (for small values of r), and the second $\mathcal{G}_{A,B}$ (for greater values of r). Thus, we have

$$\Delta_{A,B} = \mathcal{D}_{A,B} + \mathcal{S}_{A,B} + \mathcal{G}_{A,B}. \tag{27}$$

5. Evaluating $\mathcal{D}_{A,B}$

We recombine dr back into q and use $\phi^*(q) = \sum_{dr=q} \mu(d)\phi(r)$. In this way we see that

$$2\mathcal{D}_{A,B} = \sum_{(n,q)=1} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(n)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{n} \phi^*(q)\Psi\Big(\frac{q}{Q}\Big) V_{A,B}(n,n;q) + O(Q^{1+\epsilon}); \tag{28}$$

the error term arises from the contribution of the diagonal terms with the "plus-sign" from $m \pm n$.

Recalling the definition of V from (23) and using (20), we see that the sum over n and q is

$$\sum_q \phi^*(q)\Psi\Big(\frac{q}{Q}\Big) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1)} G_{A_{s+it},B_{s-it}} (q/\pi)^{Ks+\delta} \frac{\mathcal{AZ}(A_s,B_s)}{\mathcal{B}_q(A_s,B_s)} \frac{H(s)}{s}\, ds\, dt. \tag{29}$$

The integrand has a simple pole in s at $s = 0$, the apparent poles at $s = -(\alpha+\beta)/2, \alpha \in A, \beta \in B$ being canceled by zeros of H, and is regular in the region $\sigma > -1/2 + \epsilon$. Thus, after moving the path of integration across the pole to $\Re s = -1/2 + \epsilon$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{A,B} = \frac{1}{2} H(0) \sum_q \phi^*(q)\Psi\Big(\frac{q}{Q}\Big)(q/\pi)^{\delta} \frac{\mathcal{AZ}(A,B)}{\mathcal{B}_q(A,B)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) G_{A_{it},B_{-it}}\, dt + O(Q^{3/2+\epsilon}). \tag{30}$$

We use the fact that

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi^*(q) = \phi^\flat(q) + O(1)$$

to conclude that

$$\mathcal{D}_{A,B} = \sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)\phi^\flat(q)\mathcal{Q}(A_{it}, B_{-it}; q)\, dt + O(Q^{3/2+\epsilon}). \tag{31}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{D}_{A,B}$ leads to the term corresponding to $S = T = \phi$ in (13). Similarly, $\mathcal{D}_{-B,-A}$ leads to the term corresponding to $S = A$, $T = B$. The other terms in (13) arise from analyzing the off-diagonal contributions.

6. Evaluating $\mathcal{S}$

We express the condition $r|(m \pm n)$ in (26) by using characters mod$r$:

$$\mathcal{S}_{A,B} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{d>D}\sum_{r}\mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)\sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod r\\ \psi(-1)=1}}\sum_{\substack{m,n=1\\ (mn,dr)=1\\ m\neq n}}^{\infty}\psi(m)\overline{\psi}(n)\frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}V_{A,B}(m,n;dr)$$

(32)

We write this as $\mathcal{S}_{A,B} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) + \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B})$ where $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B})$ is the main term contribution, due to $\psi = \psi_0$, and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B})$ is the remainder contribution of all $\psi \neq \psi_0$. Thus

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\neq n}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}(m,n)) \tag{33}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}(m,n)) := \sum_{(q,mn)=1}\Psi\Big(\frac{q}{Q}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;q)\sum_{\substack{d|q\\ d>D}}\mu(d)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{d>D}\sum_{r}\mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)\sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod r\\ \psi(-1)=1\\ \psi\neq\psi_0}}\sum_{\substack{m,n=1\\ (mn,dr)=1\\ m\neq n}}^{\infty}\psi(m)\overline{\psi}(n)\frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}V_{A,B}(m,n;dr)$$

We shall now show that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) \ll Q^{2+\epsilon}/D$ so that, once $D > Q^{\theta}$ for some $\theta > 0$, this contribution is negligible.

First we re-incorporate the terms with $m = n$, these are

$$\mathcal{E}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{d>D}\sum_{r}\mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)\phi^{\flat}(r)\sum_{\substack{m=1\\ (m,dr)=1}}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(m)}{m}V_{A,B}(m,m;dr).$$

Thus, using (23), we have $\mathcal{E}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{S}) =$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{d>D}\sum_{r}\mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)\phi^{\flat}(r)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi(t)\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\epsilon)}\Big(\frac{dr}{\pi}\Big)^{Ks+\delta}G_{A_{s+it},B_{s-it}}\frac{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Z}(A_s,B_s)}{\mathcal{B}_{dr}(A_s,B_s)}\frac{H(s)}{s}\,ds\,dt;$$

Note that we have used the fact that $\mathcal{Z}(A_x, B_{-x}) = \mathcal{Z}(A, B)$; also $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}_q$ share the same "translation" property. The above is, acceptably,

$$\ll Q^{\epsilon}\sum_{q\leq 2Q}\sum_{\substack{r|q\\ r<q/D}}\phi(r) \ll Q^{2+\epsilon}D^{-1}. \tag{34}$$

Then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) + \mathcal{E}^\dagger(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) =$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{d>D}\sum_{r}\mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)\sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod r\\ \psi(-1)=1\\ \psi\neq\psi_0}}\sum_{\substack{m,n=1\\(mn,dr)=1}}^{\infty}\psi(m)\overline{\psi}(n)\frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}V_{A,B}(m,n;dr)$$

which is

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) + \mathcal{E}^\dagger(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{d>D}\sum_{r}\mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)\sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod r\\ \psi(-1)=1\\ \psi\neq\psi_0}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi(t)\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)}(dr/\pi)^{Ks+\delta}$$

$$\times G_{A_{s+it},B_{s-it}}D_{A_{s+it},q}(\psi)D_{B_{s-it},q}(\overline{\psi})\frac{H(s)}{s}\,ds\,dt$$

where

$$D_{A,q}(\psi) = \sum_{(n,q)=1}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\psi(m)}{\sqrt{m}} = \prod_{\alpha\in A}\frac{L(1/2+\alpha,\psi)}{L_q(1/2+\alpha,\psi)}$$

with $L_q(s,\psi) = \prod_{p|q}(1-\chi(p)/p^s)^{-1}$. The important point here is that we can move the path of integration to the vertical line through ϵ without encountering any poles, since $\psi\neq\psi_0$. If $K\le 4$, then it follows from the large sieve that

$$\sum_{q\le Q}\sum_{\chi \bmod q}|L(s,\chi)|^{2K} \ll Q^2(\log Q)^{K^2}|s|^A \tag{35}$$

for $\Re s \ge 1/2$, thus, by Cauchy's inequality and since G decays exponentially in s and Φ decays rapidly in y, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) \ll \sum_{d>D}(Q/d)^2(\log Q)^{K^2} \ll Q^2(\log Q)^{K^2}/D \tag{36}$$

if $K\le 4$. If $K>4$, then by Soundararajan's theorem [Sou1] this bound times $(\log Q)^\epsilon$ holds under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

7. EVALUATING $\mathcal{G}_{A,B}$

It remains now to consider the contribution of

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,B} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}\sum_{\substack{d,r\\(dr,mn)=1\\ r|m\pm n\\ d\le D}}\phi(r)\mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;dr). \tag{37}$$

Here we write $|m\pm n| = rh_1$ with $h_1\neq 0$, and try to eliminate r. Note that since $(mn,r)=1$, any common factor of m and n must be a divisor of h_1. Let us write $m=gM$ and $n=gN$ where M and N are coprime. Then we have $|M\pm N| = rh$, and now M and N are coprime to rh. For a given m and n, with g, M and N as above, let us now consider the sums over d and r in (37). To this end, consider

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n) := \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{|M\pm N|=rh\\(r,g)=1}} \mu(d)\phi(r)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;dr)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,B} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(m)\sigma_{-B}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n). \tag{38}$$

We remove the arithmetic term $\phi(r)$ by writing $\phi(r) = \sum_{a\ell=r}\mu(a)\ell$. Thus the above becomes

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n) = \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN))=1}} \sum_{(a,g)=1}\mu(a) \sum_{\substack{|M\pm N|=a\ell h\\(\ell,g)=1}} \mu(d)\ell\Psi\Big(\frac{da\ell}{Q}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;da\ell).$$

Now we use Möbius inversion to express the condition that $(\ell, g) = 1$. This gives

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n) = \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{(a,g)=1}\mu(a)\sum_{b|g}\mu(b) \sum_{|M\pm N|=ab\ell h} \mu(d)b\ell\Psi\Big(\frac{dab\ell}{Q}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;dab\ell).$$

At this juncture we have eliminated the variable ℓ: by insisting that $M \pm N \equiv 0 \bmod abh$ we may write $\ell = |M \pm N|/(abh)$. Thus we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n) = Q &\sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{(a,g)=1}\sum_{b|g} \sum_{\substack{h>0\\M\equiv\pm N \bmod abh}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{ad}\Big(\frac{|M\pm N|d}{Qh}\Big)\\ &\times\Psi\Big(\frac{|M\pm N|d}{Qh}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;d|M\pm N|/h).\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

We now express the condition $M \equiv \pm N \bmod abh$ using characters $\psi \bmod abh$. We isolate the contribution of the principal character here, calling that term $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m, n))$, and we call the contribution of the other characters $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m, n))$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)) = Q &\sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{(a,gMN)=1}\sum_{\substack{b|g\\(b,MN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{h>0\\(h,MN)=1}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{ad\phi(abh)}\Big(\frac{|M\pm N|d}{Qh}\Big)\\ &\times\Psi\Big(\frac{|M\pm N|d}{Qh}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;d|M\pm N|/h),\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where we sum over both choices for ±.

8. The weight function and its Mellin transform

To simplify our calculations let us define, for non-negative real numbers u, x and y,

$$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u,x,y) = u|x\pm y|\Psi(u|x\pm y|)V_{A,B}(x,y;u|x\pm y|). \tag{41}$$

Recall that

$$V_{A,B}(\xi,\eta;\mu) = \big(\frac{\mu}{\pi}\big)^{\delta}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi(t)(\xi/\eta)^{-it}W_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(\xi\eta\pi^K/\mu^K)dt$$

so that

$$c^{-2\delta/K}V_{A,B}(cx,cy;uc^{2/K}) = V_{A,B}(x,y;u). \tag{42}$$

Thus,

$$\Big(\frac{|M\pm N|d}{Qh}\Big)\Psi\Big(\frac{|M\pm N|d}{Qh}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;d|M\pm N|/h) = Q^{\delta}\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}\Big(\frac{Q^{\frac{K}{2}-1}d}{gh},\frac{m}{Q^{\frac{K}{2}}},\frac{n}{Q^{\frac{K}{2}}}\Big),$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n) = Q^{1+\delta}\sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}}\ \sum_{(a,g)=1}\sum_{b|g}\ \sum_{\substack{h>0\\ M\equiv\pm N \bmod abh}}\frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{ad}\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}\Big(\frac{Q^{\frac{K}{2}-1}d}{gh},\frac{m}{Q^{\frac{K}{2}}},\frac{n}{Q^{\frac{K}{2}}}\Big).$$

Now $\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u,x,y)$ is smooth in u, x and y, the $|x\pm y|$ doesn't cause trouble because Ψ smoothly vanishes at 0. Moreover $\mathcal{W}_{\pm}$ and its derivatives are small unless x and y are small with $x/y \asymp 1$. In particular, for any $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u,x,y) \ll u^{C_1}x^{-C_2}y^{-C_3}. \tag{43}$$

This estimate easily follows from the representation

$$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B} = u|x\pm y|\Psi(u|x\pm y|)\big(\frac{u}{\pi}\big)^{\delta}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi(t)\big(\frac{x}{y}\big)^{-it}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{s}G_{A_{s+it},B_{s-it}}\big(\frac{xy\pi^K}{(u|x\pm y|)^K}\big)^{-s}\frac{H(s)}{s}\ ds\ dt,$$

by considering a variety of cases. Especially useful is the fact that $\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u,x,y) = 0$ unless $1\le u|x\pm y| < 2$. A less obvious case is when x is very small, y is large, and $u\approx 1/y$. One moves the path of integration in s far to the right, and then the path of integration of t so that it is the vertical line just slightly to the left of the s-line. Then the power on x in the new integral is small, the power on y is large and negative, and since u is about $1/y$, the estimate (43) follows.

We write

$$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u,x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_z\int_{s_1}\int_{s_2}\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}(z,s_1,s_2)u^{-z}x^{-s_1}y^{-s_2}\ ds_2\ ds_1\ dz \tag{44}$$

where all of the paths are taken to be the vertical lines with increasing imaginary parts which pass through the point $1/2+\epsilon$, for a small $\epsilon > 0$, and where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}(z,s_1,s_2) = \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u,x,y)u^{z}x^{s_1}y^{s_2}\frac{du}{u}\frac{dx}{x}\frac{dy}{y}. \tag{45}$$

With some changes of variables we can write our transformed weight function as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}(z,s_1,s_2)=\int_0^\infty u^{z+1}\Psi(u)\int_0^\infty \lambda^{s_1}|1\pm\lambda|^{-z}\int_0^\infty V_{A,B}(\lambda y,y;u)y^{s_1+s_2-z}\frac{dy}{y}\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}\frac{du}{u}.$$

By (18) and (23) we have

$$V_{A,B}(\lambda y,y;u)=\left(\frac{u}{\pi}\right)^{\delta}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi(t)\lambda^{-it}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(1)}G_{A_{s+it},B_{s-it}}\left(\frac{\lambda y^2\pi^K}{u^K}\right)^{-s}\frac{H(s)}{s}\,ds\,dt$$

so that, by Mellin inversion,

$$\int_0^\infty V(\lambda y,y;u)y^s\frac{dy}{y}=\left(\frac{u}{\pi}\right)^{\delta+Ks/2}\lambda^{-s/2}\frac{H(s/2)}{s}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi(t)\lambda^{-it}G_{A_{s/2+it},B_{s/2-it}}\,dt.$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^-(w,z):&=\int_0^\infty\lambda^w|1-\lambda|^{-z}\,\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}\\&=\int_0^1\lambda^{w-1}(1-\lambda)^{-z}\,d\lambda+\int_0^1\lambda^{z-w-1}(1-\lambda)^{-z}\,d\lambda\\&=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(1-z)}{\Gamma(1+w-z)}+\frac{\Gamma(z-w)\Gamma(1-z)}{\Gamma(1-w)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^+(w,z):&=\int_0^\infty\lambda^w|1+\lambda|^{-z}\,\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}=\int_1^\infty(\lambda-1)^{w-1}\lambda^{-z}\,d\lambda\\&=\int_0^1\lambda^{z-w-1}(1-\lambda)^{w-1}d\lambda\\&=\frac{\Gamma(z-w)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z)}\end{aligned}$$

and, for future reference,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(w,z):&=\mathcal{H}^-(w,z)+\mathcal{H}^+(w,z)\\&=\frac{\Gamma(w)\Gamma(1-z)}{\Gamma(1+w-z)}+\frac{\Gamma(z-w)\Gamma(1-z)}{\Gamma(1-w)}+\frac{\Gamma(z-w)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z)}\\&=2^z\sin\frac{\pi z}{2}\Gamma(1-z)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{z-w}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-w}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1-z+w}{2}\right)};\end{aligned}$$

all of these provided that $0<\Re w<\Re z<1$. The last equality is an identity of Matt Young's [You]. We apply this formula with $s=(s_1+s_2-z)/2$ and $w=(s_1-s_2+z)/2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}(z,s_1,s_2)&=\tilde{\Psi}(1+\delta+Ks+z)\frac{H(s)}{2s\pi^{\delta+Ks}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi(t)\mathcal{H}^{\pm}(w-it,z)G_{A_{s+it},B_{s-it}}\,dt\\&=:W^*_{A,B}(z,s,w).\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

Summarizing, we have

Lemma 1. *Let* $\mathcal{W}^*$ *be given by (46). Suppose that* $0 < \Re w < \Re z < 2$ *and* $\Re s > -1/2 + \epsilon$. *Then,* $\mathcal{W}^*(z, s, w)$ *is analytic apart from simple poles at* $s = 0$ *and at* $z = 1$. *Moreover, for* $x \neq y$,

$$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u, x, y) = \frac{2}{(2\pi i)^3} \iiint \mathcal{W}^*_{A,B}(z, s, w)(xy)^{-s}(x/y)^{-w}(uy)^{-z}\ ds\ dw\ dz \tag{47}$$

where the integrals are over vertical paths satisfying $0 < \Re w < \Re z < 1$ *and* $\Re s > 0$, *and the integral over* w *is to be taken symmetrically, i.e. as* $\lim_{T\to\infty} \int_{|\Im w|<T}$. *Also* $\mathcal{W}^*$ *satisfies*

$$\mathcal{W}^*(z, s, w) \ll ((1 + |z|)(1 + |s|))^{-A}(1 + |w|)^{\Re z-1}.$$

Finally, if $x \neq 1$, *we have*

$$\int_T^\infty (\mathcal{H}_1(c + iv, z)x^{-iv} + \mathcal{H}_1(c - iv, z)x^{iv})\ dv \ll (1 + |z|)T^{\Re z-1}/|\log x|). \tag{48}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_1(z, w) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{z-w}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-w}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1-z+w}{2}\right)}.$$

Proof. The contents of this lemma are clear, except for the last assertion which we now prove. It suffices to show that

$$\int_T^\infty (\mathcal{H}_1(c + iv, z)x^{-iv} + \mathcal{H}_1(c - iv, z)x^{iv})\ dv \ll (1 + |z|)T^{\Re z-1}/|\log x|). \tag{49}$$

We use Stirling's formula

$$\Gamma(u + iv) = |v|^{w-1/2}e^{-\pi|v|/2}(1 + O(1/|v|)$$

as $|v| \to \infty$. Then the left-hand side of (49) is

$$\ll \left|\int_T^\infty \sin(v \log x)v^{\Re z-1}(1 + O(1/v))\ dv\right|.$$

The result follows upon integrating by parts. □

9. Estimating $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B})$

We write (40) as $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m, n)) =$

$$Q^{1+\delta} \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{(a,gMN)=1} \sum_{\substack{b|g\\(b,MN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{h>0\\(h,MN)=1}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{ad\phi(abh)} \mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}\Big(\frac{Q^{\frac{K}{2}-1}d}{gh}, \frac{m}{Q^{\frac{K}{2}}}, \frac{n}{Q^{\frac{K}{2}}}\Big). \tag{50}$$

Now the contribution of the remainder terms $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n))$ is

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)) = Q^{1+\delta} \sum_{\substack{d\le D \\ (d,gMN)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{(a,gMN)=1} \frac{\mu(a)}{a} \sum_{\substack{b\mid g \\ (b,MN)=1}} \mu(b) \sum_{\substack{h>0 \\ (h,MN)=1}} \frac{1}{\phi(abh)} \times \sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod abh \\ \psi\ne\psi_0}} \psi(M)\overline{\psi(\pm N)}\mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}\Big(\frac{Q^{\frac{K}{2}-1}d}{gh}, \frac{m}{Q^{\frac{K}{2}}}, \frac{n}{Q^{\frac{K}{2}}}\Big). \tag{51}$$

Now consider the sum over g, M and N

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}) := \sum_{\substack{g,M\ne N \\ (M,N)=1}} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(gM)\sigma_{-B}(gN)}{g\sqrt{MN}} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)). \tag{52}$$

We write $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}) = \mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}) + \mathcal{E}_2(\mathcal{G}_{A,B})$, where in $\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{G}_{A,B})$ the sum over h is restricted by $h \le H_1$ with $H_1 = Q^{K/2-1+\epsilon}D$. By (43), we have that the contribution of $\mathcal{E}_2(\mathcal{G}_{A,B})$ is negligible.

Now $\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{G}_{A,B})$ is

$$Q^{1+\delta} \sum_{\substack{d\le D \\ (d,gMN)=1 \\ (a,gMN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{h\le H_1, b\mid g \\ (bh,MN)=1}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{ad\phi(abh)} \sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod abh \\ \psi\ne\psi_0}} \sum_{\substack{g,M\ne N \\ (M,N)=1}} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(gM)\psi(M)\sigma_{-B}(gN)\overline{\psi}(N)}{g\sqrt{MN}} \times \frac{2}{(2\pi i)^3} \iiint_{\substack{\Re s=1/2 \\ \Re w=\epsilon, \Re z=2\epsilon}} \mathcal{W}^{*}_{A,B}(z,s,w)(\frac{mn}{Q^K})^{-s}(m/n)^{-w}(\frac{nd}{ghQ})^{-z}\, ds\, dw\, dz.$$

We split this into $\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}) = \mathcal{E}_1^1(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}) + \mathcal{E}_1^2(\mathcal{G}_{A,B})$ where $\mathcal{E}_1^1(\mathcal{G}_{A,B})$ is the part with $|\Im w| \le T$ and $\mathcal{E}_1^2(\mathcal{G}_{A,B})$ is the part with $|\Im w| > T$.

First we estimate $\mathcal{E}_1^2$. For the terms with $nm > Q^{K(1+\epsilon)}$ we move the path of integration in s sufficiently far to the right to see that these terms are negligible. For the terms with $mn \le Q^{K(1+\epsilon)}$ we choose $T = Q^A$ where A is sufficiently large to compensate for the potentially large $1/|\log(m/n)| \ll Q^{K(1+\epsilon)}$ term that arises in (49). In this way, we see that $\mathcal{E}_1^2$ is negligible.

To treat $\mathcal{E}_1^1$ we first reintroduce the diagonal terms with an acceptable error term. Then we note that

$$\sum_{\substack{M,N,g\\(M,N)=1\\(MN,abdh)=1\\(g,ad)=1}} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(gM)\sigma_{-B}(gN)\psi(M)\overline{\psi}(N)}{g^{1+s_1+s_2-z}M^{1/2+s_1}N^{1/2+s_2}} \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\substack{(e,ahd)=1\\(g,ad)=1}} \frac{\mu(e)}{e^{1+s_1+s_2}g^{1+s_1+s_2-z}} \sum_{(M,d)=1} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(gM)\psi(M)}{M^{1/2+s_1}} \sum_{(N,d)=1} \frac{\sigma_{-B}(gN)\overline{\psi}(N)}{N^{1/2+s_2}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(e,ahd)=1\\(g,ad)=1}} \frac{\mu(e)E_{d,g}(s_1,\psi)E_{d,g}(s_2,\overline{\psi})}{e^{1+s_1+s_2}g^{1+s_1+s_2-z}} \mathcal{L}_{A_{s_1},B_{s_2}}(\psi) \\ &\ll Q^{\epsilon}|\mathcal{L}_{A_{s_1},B_{s_2}}(\psi)| \end{aligned}$$

where $E_{d,g}(s,\psi)$ is a Dirichlet series with small coefficients and $\mathcal{L}$ is as defined in (5), and provided that the real parts of the variables are sufficiently near 0.

We move the path of integration of s to $\Re s = \epsilon$. The contributions from the short horizontal segments are negligible because T is so large. We use the large sieve inequality

$$\sum_{Q<q\le 2Q} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \int_T^{2T} |L(\sigma+it)|^{2K}\, dt \ll (Q^2T + (QT)^{K/2})(\log QT)^{K^2},$$

valid for $K \le 4$ and $\sigma > 1/2 - C/(\log QT)$ where $C > 0$ is any fixed number, to estimate the integral on the new path. We have, by Lemma 1, Hölder's inequality, and (53), that

$$\mathcal{E}_{A,B}^1(\mathcal{G}) \ll Q^{K/2+\epsilon} D \tag{54}$$

for $K \le 4$.

In conclusion, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}) \ll Q^{K/2+\epsilon} D.$$

Upon comparison with (36) we see that in the case $K = 3$ that $D = Q^{1/4}$ is the optimal choice and leads to an overall error term

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}) + \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}) \ll Q^{7/4+\epsilon} \tag{55}$$

when $K = 3$.

10. MAIN TERMS

In this section we combine the terms $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}(m,n))$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n))$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}(m,n)) = \sum_{\substack{r,d\\d>D\\(dr,mn)=1}} \mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;dr).$$

We can rewrite this (see (33)) as

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}(m,n)) = -\sum_{\substack{r,d\\ d\le D\\ (dr,mn)=1}} \mu(d)\Psi\Big(\frac{dr}{Q}\Big)V_{A,B}(m,n;dr).$$

Now using

$$\sum_{\substack{r\le x\\ (r,m)=1}} 1 = x\phi(m,1)+O(x^{1/2}m^{\epsilon})$$

the above becomes

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}(m,n)) = -Q\phi(mn,1)\sum_{\substack{d\le D\\ (d,mn)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\int_0^\infty \Psi(u)V_{A,B}(m,n;uQ)\ du + O(Q^{1/2}(mn)^{\epsilon}). \tag{56}$$

Now we turn to $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n))$. We use (50) and a Mellin inversion formula. Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}(z;x,y) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u,x,y)u^z\frac{du}{u}. \tag{57}$$

For each fixed x and y this is an entire function of z. We have

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)) = Q^{1+\delta_{A,B}} \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\ (d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{(a,gMN)=1} \sum_{\substack{b\mid g\\ (b,MN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{h>0\\ (h,MN)=1}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{ad\phi(abh)} \times\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(-\epsilon)} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}\Big(z;\frac{m}{Q^{K/2}},\frac{n}{Q^{K/2}}\Big)(Q^{K/2-1}dg^{-1}h^{-1})^{-z}\ dz \tag{58}$$

where $\epsilon>0$ is small. Note that the sum over h is absolutely convergent when $\Re z=-\epsilon$.

We sum the series over h using the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *If* $(a,m)=1$, *then*

$$\sum_{(h,m)=1}\frac{1}{\phi(ah)h^{s-1}} = \frac{1}{\phi(a)}\zeta(s)\zeta(s+1)\mathcal{K}(s)\phi(m,s)R_s(a)R_s(m) =: \frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s+1)\mathcal{K}(s)E_{m,a}(s)}{\phi(a)}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(s) = \prod_p\Big(1+\frac{1}{(p-1)p^s}\Big)\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{s+1}}\Big)$$

and

$$R_s(m) = \prod_{p\mid m}\Big(1+\frac{1}{(p-1)p^s}\Big)^{-1}.$$

Thus, with $s = 1 - z$, the sum over h in (58) is $E_{MN,ab}\zeta\mathcal{K}(1-z)/\phi(ab)$. We insert this into (58) and have

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)) = Q^{1+\delta_{A,B}} \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{(a,gMN)=1} \sum_{\substack{b|g\\(b,MN)=1}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{ad\phi(ab)} \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(-\epsilon)} \zeta(1-z) \tag{59}$$

$$\times\zeta(2-z)\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}\left(z; \frac{m}{Q^{K/2}}, \frac{n}{Q^{K/2}}\right)E_{MN,ab}(1-z)\mathcal{K}(1-z)(Q^{-K-1}dg^{-1})^{-z}\ dz$$

We move the path of integration in the z-integral above to the vertical line through $1/2+\epsilon$ and in doing so cross the pole at $z=0$. The residue is

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)) = \zeta(2)Q^{1+\delta_{A,B}} \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{(a,gMN)=1} \sum_{\substack{b|g\\(b,MN)=1}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{ad\phi(ab)}$$

$$\times\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}\left(0; \frac{m}{Q^{K/2}}, \frac{n}{Q^{K/2}}\right)E_{MN,ab}\mathcal{K}(1).$$

Now (see [CIS])

$$\zeta(2)\mathcal{K}(1) \sum_{(a,MNg)=1} \sum_{\substack{b|g\\(b,MN)=1}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)E_{MN,ab}(1)}{a\phi(ab)} = \phi(MNg,1) = \phi(mn,1) \tag{60}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)) = Q^{1+\delta_{A,B}}\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}\left(0; \frac{m}{Q^{K/2}}, \frac{n}{Q^{K/2}}\right)\phi(mn,1) \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}.$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}(0;x,y) &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{W}^{\pm}_{A,B}(u,x,y)\frac{du}{u} = \int_0^\infty u|x\pm y|\Psi(u|x\pm y|)V_{A,B}(x,y,u|x\pm y|)\frac{du}{u}\\ &= \int_0^\infty \Psi(u)V_{A,B}(x,y,u)\ du.\end{aligned}$$

Using (42) and comparing this expression with (56), we find that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)) + \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}(m,n)) = O(Q^{3/2}(mn)^{\epsilon}).$$

In summary, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_{A,B}(m,n)) + \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{A,B}(m,n)) = Q^{1+\delta_{A,B}} \sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}} \sum_{(a,gMN)=1} \sum_{\substack{b|g\\(b,MN)=1}} \frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)}{a\phi(ab)d} \tag{61}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}\left(z; \frac{m}{Q^{K/2}}, \frac{n}{Q^{K/2}}\right)E_{MN,ab}\zeta\mathcal{K}(1-z)\zeta(2-z)(Q^{-K-1}dg^{-1})^{-z}\ dz$$

$$+O\left(Q^{3/2+\epsilon}D(mn)^{-1/2-\epsilon}\right).$$

11. SUMMING OVER m AND n

We now sum (61) over m and n using (33) and (38) and taking into account (36) and (44). By using a Mellin inversion formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}(62)\quad \mathcal{G}_{A,B}+\mathcal{S}_{A,B} \;=\; & \frac{1/2}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)}\int_{(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)}\int_{(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\pm}_{A,B}(z,s_1,s_2)Q^{K(s_1+s_2-z)/2+z+1+\delta_{A,B}} \\ & \times\zeta\mathcal{K}(1-z)\zeta(2-z)\mathcal{F}_{A,B,D}(s_1,s_2,z)\,ds_1\,ds_2\,dz \\ & +O(Q^{3/2+\epsilon}D+Q^{2+\epsilon}D^{-1}+Q^{\frac{K}{2}+\epsilon}D+Q^{\frac{K}{2}+1/4+\epsilon}D^{\frac{K}{2}})\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,B,D}(s_1,s_2,z)=\sum_{\substack{g\\(M,N)=1}}\;\sum_{\substack{d\le D\\(d,gMN)=1}}\;\sum_{\substack{(a,gMN)=1\\b|g\\(b,MN)=1}}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(Mg)\sigma_{-B}(Ng)\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)E_{MN,ab}(1-z)}{M^{1/2+s_1}N^{1/2+s_2}g^{1+s_1+s_2-z}a\phi(ab)d^{1+z}}.$$

We now account for the relevant poles of the integrand. As mentioned in Lemma **??** the poles of $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{A,B}$ are at $z=s_1+s_2$ and $z=1$. Also, $\zeta(2-z)$ has a pole at $z=1$, so that there is a double pole at $z=1$. Finally, the poles of $\mathcal{F}_{A,B,D}$ may be ascertained by considering the approximation

$$\begin{aligned}(63)\quad & \sum_{M,N,g=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(Mg)\sigma_{-B}(Ng)\phi(MN,1-z)}{M^{1/2+s_1}N^{1/2+s_2}g^{1+s_1+s_2-z}} \\ & \approx\frac{\prod_{\substack{\alpha\in A\\\beta\in B}}\zeta(1+\alpha+\beta+s_1+s_2-z)\prod_{\alpha\in A}\zeta(1/2+\alpha+s_1)\prod_{\beta\in B}\zeta(1/2+\beta+s_2)}{\prod_{\alpha\in A}\zeta(1/2+\alpha+s_1+1-z)\prod_{\beta\in B}\zeta(1/2+\beta+s_2+1-z)}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha'\in A$ and $\beta'\in B$. The potential pole when $z=s_1+s_2+\alpha'+\beta'$ is canceled by a zero of $H(s/2)$ exactly at this point, and so does not appear. Similarly, when $s_1=1/2-\alpha'$ and $s_2=1/2-\beta'$ the triple pole at $z=1$ is canceled by the triple zero of $H(s/2)$.

Thus, the relevant poles are at $s_1=1/2-\alpha'$, $s_2=1/2-\beta'$, and $z=s_1+s_2$, where $\alpha'\in A$, $\beta'\in B$.

We let $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{A,B}=\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{+}_{A,B}+\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{-}_{A,B}$ and calculate

$$(64)\qquad \operatorname*{Res}_{z=s_1+s_2} Q^{K(s_1+s_2-z)/2+z+1+\delta_{A,B}}\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{A,B}(z,s_1,s_2)\zeta(1-z)\mathcal{K}(1-z)\zeta(2-z)\Big|_{\substack{s_1=1/2-\alpha'\\s_2=1/2-\beta'}}.$$

Let

$$A^*=(A-\{\alpha'\})\cup\{-\beta'\}\qquad\qquad B^*=(B-\{\beta'\})\cup\{-\alpha'\}.$$

By the functional equation for ζ,

$$\mathcal{H}(w,z)\zeta(1-z)=\pi^{1-z}\zeta(z)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{z-w}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-w}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1-z+w}{2}\right)}$$

so that, with $w = s_1/2 - s_2/2 + z/2 - it$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}(w,z)\zeta(1-z)G_{A_{it},B_{-it}}\Big|_{\substack{s_1=1/2-\alpha' \\ s_2=1/2-\beta' \\ z=s_1+s_2}} = \pi^{\alpha'+\beta'}\zeta(1-\alpha'-\beta')G_{A^*_{it},B^*_{-it}}.$$

Note also that

$$\delta_{A,B} - \alpha' - \beta' = \delta_{A^*,B^*}.$$

Thus, (64) is

$$= H(0)Q^2\tilde{\Psi}(2+\delta_{A^*,B^*})(Q/\pi)^{\delta_{A^*,B^*}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi(t)G_{A^*_{it},B^*_{-it}}\,dt \tag{65}$$
$$\times\zeta(1-\alpha'-\beta')\zeta(1+\alpha'+\beta')\mathcal{K}(\alpha'+\beta')$$

We can complete the sum in d with an acceptable error term; we do this before computing the residue of $\mathcal{F}$. We write $\mathcal{F}_{A,B}(s_1,s_2,z)$ for $\lim_{D\to\infty}\mathcal{F}_{A,B,D}(s_1,s_2,z)$.

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,B}(s_1,s_2,z) = \sum_{\substack{g \\ (M,N)=1}} \frac{\sigma_{-A}(Mg)\sigma_{-B}(Ng)f(M,N,g;1-z)}{M^{1/2+s_1}N^{1/2+s_2}g^{1+s_1+s_2-z}} \tag{66}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(M,N,g;z) &= \sum_{(d,gMN)=1}\sum_{\substack{(a,gMN)=1 \\ b|g \\ (b,MN)=1}}\frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)E_{MN,ab}(z)}{a\phi(ab)d^{2-z}} \\ &= \phi(MN,z)R_z(MN)\sum_{(d,gMN)=1}\sum_{\substack{(a,gMN)=1 \\ b|g \\ (b,MN)=1}}\frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(d)R_z(ab)}{a\phi(ab)d^{2-z}}. \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Now we describe the contributions of the residue when $s_1 = 1/2-\alpha'$, $s_2 = 1/2-\beta'$ and $z = s_1+s_2$. Using (63) as a guide, we compute

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\substack{s_1=1/2-\alpha' \\ s_2=1/2-\beta'}} \mathcal{F}_{A,B}(s_1,s_2,s_1+s_2) = \mathcal{Z}(A,B)\frac{\prod_{\substack{\alpha\in A \\ \alpha\neq\alpha'}}\zeta(1+\alpha-\alpha')\prod_{\substack{\beta\in B \\ \beta\neq\beta'}}\zeta(1+\beta-\beta')}{\prod_{\alpha\in A}\zeta(1+\alpha+\beta')\prod_{\beta\in B}\zeta(1+\beta+\alpha')} \tag{68}$$
$$\times\prod_p \mathcal{Z}_p(A,B)^{-1}\frac{\prod_{\alpha\in A}\zeta_p(1+\alpha+\beta')\prod_{\beta\in B}\zeta_p(1+\beta+\alpha')}{\prod_{\alpha\in A}\zeta_p(1+\alpha-\alpha')\prod_{\beta\in B}\zeta_p(1+\beta-\beta')}\Sigma_p(A,B,\alpha',\beta')$$

where

$$\Sigma_p(A,B,\alpha',\beta') := \sum_{\substack{M,N,g=0 \\ \min\{M,N\}=0}}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_{-A}(p^{M+g})\sigma_{-B}(p^{N+g})f(p^M,p^N,p^g;\alpha'+\beta')}{p^{M(1-\alpha')+N(1-\beta')+g}}. \tag{69}$$

A brief calculation yields that the left-hand side of (68) multiplied by the terms on the second line of (65) is

$$= Z(A^*, B^*) \prod_p Z_p(A^*, B^*)^{-1} \frac{(1-1/p)^2(1+\frac{1}{(p-1)p^{\alpha'+\beta'}})}{1-\frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha'-\beta'}}} \Sigma_p(A, B, \alpha', \beta'). \tag{70}$$

We claim, and will prove in the next section, the fundamental identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1-1/p)^2(1+\frac{1}{(p-1)p^{\alpha'+\beta'}})}{1-\frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha'-\beta'}}} \Sigma_p(A, B, \alpha', \beta') \\ &\qquad = \mathcal{B}_p(A^*, B^*) \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^*(p^q)}{\mathcal{B}_{p^q}(A^*, B^*) p^{2q}} \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_p$ is defined in (12). Assuming this identity for the moment, it follows from (9), (65), (70), and (71) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname*{Res}_{\substack{s_1=1/2-\alpha' \\ s_2=1/2-\beta' \\ z=s_1+s_2}} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{A,B}(z, s_1, s_2) Q^{K(s_1+s_2-z)/2+z+1+\delta_{A,B}} \zeta\mathcal{K}(1-z)\zeta(2-z)\mathcal{F}_{A,B}(s_1, s_2, z) \\ &\qquad = H(0) Q^2 \tilde{\Psi}(2+\delta_{A^*,B^*})(Q/\pi)^{\delta_{A^*,B^*}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) G_{A^*_{it}, B^*_{-it}} \, dt \\ &\qquad\quad \times \mathcal{Z}(A^*, B^*) \mathcal{A}(A^*, B^*) \prod_p \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^*(p^q)}{\mathcal{B}_{p^q}(A^*, B^*) p^{2q}} \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

On the other hand, the term in (13) which corresponds to $S = \{\alpha'\}$, $T = \{\beta'\}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &H(0) \sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \phi^\flat(q) \mathcal{Q}(A^*_{it}, B^*_{-it}; q) \, dt \\ &= H(0) \pi^{-\delta_{A^*,B^*}} \mathcal{A}\mathcal{Z}(A^*, B^*) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) G_{A^*_{it}, B^*_{-it}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \tilde{\Psi}(s) Q^s \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^\flat(q) q^{\delta_{A^*,B^*}}}{q^s \mathcal{B}_q(A^*, B^*)} \, ds \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

The sum over q has a pole at $s = 2+\delta_{A^*,B^*}$; if we move the path of the integral to the left to $\Re s = 3/2$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \tilde{\Psi}(s) Q^s \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^\flat(q) q^{\delta_{A^*,B^*}}}{q^s \mathcal{B}_q(A^*, B^*)} \, ds \\ &\qquad = Q^{2+\delta_{A^*,B^*}} \tilde{\Psi}(2+\delta_{A^*,B^*}) \prod_p \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^\flat(p^q)}{p^{2q} \mathcal{B}_{p^q}} + O(Q^{3/2+\epsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

so that (72) and (73) differ by $\ll Q^{3/2+\epsilon}$. Thus, we have shown that the residue in (72) is, up to an acceptable error term, equal to the term in (13) with $S = \{\alpha'\}$, $B = \{\beta'\}$.

Now that we have finished our calculation of the residues, we return to (62) and proceed to move the paths of integration. First, we move the s_2 integral to the vertical line through ϵ. In doing so, we cross the poles at $s_2 = 1/2 - \beta'$ for $\beta' \in B$; note that we do not cross the poles at $s_2 = z - s_1$ since $\Re(z - s_1) = 0$. The power of Q in the new triple integral has size $Q^{3/4+K/4+3\epsilon}$ which is acceptable; we can estimate this integral trivially and so are left to consider the double integral over s_1 and z with the residual terms from s_2 now in the integrand.

Now we move the path of integration in s_1 to the vertical line through 2ϵ. We obtain residual terms from $s_1 = 1/2 - \alpha'$ with $\alpha' \in A$ but do not cross the poles at $s_1 = z - s_2$ (where $s_2 = 1/2 - \beta'$) because $|\beta'| < \epsilon$. Also, the power of Q in the new double integral is of size $Q^{1-K/2+5\epsilon}$ which is acceptable. Finally, we are left to consider a single integral over z but with the residual terms in the integrand of s_1 and s_2 near to $1/2$. We move the z-integral to the vertical line path through $2 - \epsilon$. In doing so we cross (potential) poles at $z = 1$ and at $z = s_1 + s_2$; the integral on the new path has a power of Q which is bounded by $Q^{3-K/2+\epsilon}$, which is acceptable.

It can be shown that the residue from the double pole at $z = 1$ is 0.

Summarizing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_{A,B} + \mathcal{S}_{A,B} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha' \in A \\ \beta' \in B}} \operatorname*{Res}_{\substack{s_1 = 1/2 - \alpha' \\ s_2 = 1/2 - \beta' \\ z = s_1 + s_2}} \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{A,B}(z, s_1, s_2) Q^{K(s_1+s_2-z)/2+z} \\ &\qquad \times \zeta\mathcal{K}(1-z)\zeta(2-z)\mathcal{F}_{A,B}(s_1, s_2, z) + O(Q^{7/4+\epsilon}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha' \in A \\ \beta' \in B}} H(0) \sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)\phi^\flat(q)\mathcal{Q}(A^*_{it}, B^*_{-it}; q)\, dt + O(Q^{7/4+\epsilon}).\end{aligned}$$

We use (72) and sum over all the choices of $\alpha' \in A$, $\beta' \in B$; we also include the diagonal terms (31) and have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{D}_{A,B} + \mathcal{S}_{A,B} + \mathcal{G}_{A,B} + \mathcal{D}_{-B,-A} + \mathcal{S}_{-B,-A} + \mathcal{G}_{-B,-A} \\ &\qquad = H(0) \sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)\phi^\flat(q)\mathcal{Q}_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(q)\, dt + O(Q^{7/4+\epsilon})\end{aligned}$$

in the case $K = 3$.

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I} &= H(0) \sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{\chi} \Lambda_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(\chi)\, dt \\ &= H(0) \sum_q \Psi\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t)\phi^\flat(q)\mathcal{Q}_{A_{it},B_{-it}}(q)\, dt + O(Q^{7/4+\epsilon})\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for values of the parameters $\alpha_j, \beta_j \ll (\log Q)^{-1}$. We can divide both sides of this formula by $H(0)$. After doing so, the left hand side is analytic in the parameters α_j, β_j in neighborhoods of the origin. Moreover, the main-term on the right-hand side is also analytic for the parameters in neighborhoods of the origin. (A proof of this fact follows from the integral expression of the main-term as in Lemma 2.5.1 of [CFKRS].) Consequently, after division by $H(0)$ the error term remains analytic in these parameters in neighborhoods of the origin. Thus, by the maximum principle, the error-term is not affected by this division.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1, apart from verifying the identity (71). The Corollary, follows as in [CFKRS].

12. Arithmetic sums

In this section we prove (71). It is convenient to regard it as an identity involving rational functions of $X = 1/p$ with parameters, $a_j = p^{-\alpha_j}$, $b_k = p^{-\beta_k}$. We proceed to establish a general identity in this connection. We note first off that the left hand side of (71) is

$$(1-1/p)^2 R^{-1}(1-p^{-1+\alpha'+\beta'})^{-1}\Sigma_p(A,B,\alpha',\beta')$$

and that, by (69) and (67), (letting Σ_p stand for $\Sigma_p(A,B,\alpha',\beta')$ and $\mathcal{B}$ for $\mathcal{B}_p(A,B)$),

$$\Sigma_p = R\phi(\mathcal{B}^m-\mathcal{B})+R\phi(\mathcal{B}^n-\mathcal{B})+\mathcal{B}-\frac{R(\mathcal{B}-1)}{(p-1)}-\frac{1}{p^{2-\alpha'-\beta'}}-\frac{R}{p(p-1)}+\frac{R}{p(p-1)p^{2-\alpha'-\beta'}}$$

where $R = R_{\alpha'+\beta'}(p) = (1+p^{-\alpha'-\beta'}/(p-1))^{-1}$, $\phi = \phi(p,\alpha'+\beta') = 1-p^{-\alpha'-\beta'}$,

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_p(A,B) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\sigma_{-A}(p^g)\sigma_{-B}(p^g)p^{-g};$$

$$\mathcal{B}^m = \mathcal{B}_p^m(A,B) = \sum_{g,m=0}^{\infty}\sigma_{-A}(p^{g+m})\sigma_{-B}(p^g)p^{-g-m}p^{\alpha' g};$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}^n = \mathcal{B}_p^n(A,B) = \sum_{g,n=0}^{\infty}\sigma_{-A}(p^g)\sigma_{-B}(p^{g+n})p^{-g-n}p^{\beta' g}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(1-1/p)^2(1+\frac{1}{(p-1)p^{\alpha'+\beta'}})}{1-\frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha'-\beta'}}}\Sigma_p(A,B,\alpha',\beta')\\ &\quad=\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\frac{(1-1/p)(1-p^{-\alpha'-\beta'})(\mathcal{B}^m+\mathcal{B}^n)+(-1+(2-1/p)p^{-\alpha'-\beta'})\mathcal{B}}{\left(1-1/p^{1-\alpha'-\beta'}\right)}\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{p^2}+\frac{1}{p^4}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_p(A^*,B^*)\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\frac{\phi^*(p^q)}{\mathcal{B}_{p^q}(A^*,B^*)p^{2q}} = \mathcal{B}_p(A^*,B^*)\big(1-1/p\big)+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{2}{p^2}+\frac{1}{p^4}.$$

After some simplification in the above two equations, we find that (71) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}(74)\quad &\mathcal{B}_p(A^*,B^*)\\ &= \frac{(1-1/p)(1-p^{-\alpha'-\beta'})(\mathcal{B}_p^m(A,B)+\mathcal{B}_p^n(A,B))+(-1+(2-1/p)p^{-\alpha'-\beta'})\mathcal{B}_p(A,B)}{\left(1-1/p^{1-\alpha'-\beta'}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

We now prove a generalized version of (74). Suppose that we have sequences $\{c_n\}$ and $\{d_n\}$ which have generating functions

$$C(X)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nX^n=\prod_{j=1}^{J}(1-\gamma_jX)^{-1}$$

and

$$D(X)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}d_nX^n=\prod_{k=1}^{K}(1-\delta_kX)^{-1},$$

and the "convolution"

$$E(X)=\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}c_gd_gX^g.$$

Now suppose we replace γ_1 by $1/\delta_1$ and δ_1 by $1/\gamma_1$. In other words, suppose that

$$\tilde{C}(X)=\frac{(1-\gamma_1X)}{(1-X/\delta_1)}C(X)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\tilde{c}_nX^n,$$

$$\tilde{D}(X)=\frac{(1-\delta_1X)}{(1-X/\gamma_1)}D(X)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\tilde{d}_nX^n,$$

and

$$\tilde{E}(X)=\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\tilde{c}_g\tilde{d}_gX^g.$$

Then we can express $\tilde{E}(X)$ in a simple way in terms of $E(X)$, $E_c(X)$, and $E_d(X)$ where

$$E_c(X):=\sum_{g,m=0}^{\infty}c_{g+m}d_gX^{g+m}/\gamma_1^m$$

and

$$E_d(X):=\sum_{g,n=0}^{\infty}c_gd_{g+n}X^{g+n}/\delta_1^n.$$

Specifically,

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{(1-X)(1-\gamma_1\delta_1)(E_c+E_d) + (-1+(2-X)\gamma_1\delta_1)E}{(1-\frac{X}{\gamma_1\delta_1})}. \tag{75}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}(X) &= \int_0^1 \tilde{C}(\sqrt{X}e(\theta))\tilde{D}(\sqrt{X}e(-\theta))\ d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\gamma_1\sqrt{X}e(\theta))(1-\delta_1\sqrt{X}e(-\theta))}{(1-\sqrt{X}e(\theta)/\delta_1)(1-\sqrt{X}e(-\theta)/\gamma_1)} C(\sqrt{X}e(\theta))D(\sqrt{X}e(-\theta))\ d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 (1-\sqrt{X}(\gamma_1 e(\theta)+\delta_1 e(-\theta))+\gamma_1\delta_1 X) \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^{(k+\ell)/2} e((k-\ell)\theta)}{\delta_1^k \gamma_1^\ell} C(\sqrt{X}e(\theta))D(\sqrt{X}e(-\theta))\ d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\int_0^1 e(r\theta)C(\sqrt{X}e(\theta))D(\sqrt{X}e(-\theta))\ d\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n d_{n+r} X^{n+r/2}.$$

Therefore, the above is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^{(k+\ell)/2}}{\delta_1^k\gamma_1^\ell}\Bigg((1+\gamma_1\delta_1 X)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n d_{n+k-\ell} X^{n+(k-\ell)/2} \\ &\qquad -\gamma_1\sqrt{X}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n d_{n+k+1-\ell} X^{n+(k-\ell)/2} - \delta_1\sqrt{X}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n d_{n+k-1-\ell} X^{n+(k-\ell)/2}\Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the terms with $k = \ell + g$ with $g \geq 0$. We have, for example,

$$\sum_{g,\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^{\ell+g/2}}{(\delta_1\gamma_1)^\ell \delta_1^g} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n d_{n+g} X^{n+g/2} = E_d(1-\frac{X}{\gamma_1\delta_1})^{-1}.$$

Similar such computations with $\ell - k = g \geq 0$ lead to E_c and the diagonal terms lead to E; in this way we establish (75). □

This concludes the proof of (74).

13. Conclusion

It seems that it may be possible to treat the eighth moment of Dirichlet L-functions, corresponding to the second of Huxley's formulae from the introduction. In a future paper we may return to the question of deriving the corresponding asymptotic formula for the eighth moment. However, it is clear from this paper that we cannot obtain the full eighth moment conjecture with a power savings from the techniques presented here. In particular, there will arise new main terms, in such a calculation, from terms that have been relegated to error terms here.

References

[BeHu] Beineke, J. and Hughes, C. In preparation.

[Con] Conrey, J. B. The mean-square of Dirichlet L-functions, arXiv:0708.2699.

[CKRS] Conrey, J. B.; Keating, J. P.; Rubinstein, M. O.; Snaith, N. C. On the frequency of vanishing of quadratic twists of modular L-functions. Number theory for the millennium, I (Urbana, IL, 2000), 301–315, A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002.

[CFKRS] Conrey, J. B.; Farmer, D. W.; Keating, J. P.; Rubinstein, M. O.; Snaith, N. C. Integral moments of L-functions. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 91 (2005), no. 1, 33–104.

[CG] Conrey, J. B.; Ghosh, A. A conjecture for the sixth power moment of the Riemann zeta-function. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1998, no. 15, 775–780.

[CGo] Conrey, J. B. ; Gonek, S. M. High moments of the Riemann zeta-function. Duke Math. J. 107 (2001), no. 3, 577–604.

[CIS] Conrey, J. B., Iwaniec, H., Soundararajan, K. The asymptotic large sieve. In preparation.

[HB] Heath-Brown, D. R. An asymptotic series for the mean value of Dirichlet L-functions. Comment. Math. Helv. 56 (1981), no. 1, 148–161.

[H-B2] Heath-Brown, D. R. The fourth power mean of Dirichlet's L-functions. Analysis 1 (1981), no. 1, 25–32.

[Hux] Huxley, M. N. The large sieve inequality for algebraic number fields. II. Means of moments of Hecke zeta-functions. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 21 1970 108–128.

[KaSa] Katz, Nicholas M.; Sarnak, Peter Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 45. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[KS1] Keating, J. P.; Snaith, N. C. Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$. Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000), no. 1, 57–89.

[KS2] Keating, J. P.; Snaith, N. C. Random matrix theory and L-functions at $s = 1/2$. Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000), no. 1, 91–110.

[Sou] Soundararajan, K. The fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions. arxiv math.NT/0507150.

[Sou1] Soundararajan, K. Moments of the Riemann zeta-function, preprint, arxiv math.NT/0612106.

[You] Young, Matthew. The fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions, arxiv math.NT/0610335.

American Institute of Mathematics

University of Bristol

Rutgers University

Stanford University